

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/776,910	02/06/2001	Robyn Joyce Russell	50179-087 3696		
7590 04/20/2004			EXAMINER		
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			RAO, MANJUNATH N		
600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1652	1652	
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/776,910	RUSSELL ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D.	1652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO A REPLY FILED STATE TO PLACE T	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a				
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official intelligible, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official intelligible intel	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or		rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See attached.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	idered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:	•					
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 9 and 14-18.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 10-12 and	<u>19-29</u> .					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)□ disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>				
10. Other:		Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1652				

Application No.

Applicant's amendments to claims filed in response to the final reejction has not been entered because applicants have not clearly marked to show the amendments made. Applicants have also not filed any marked-up version. Therfore, Examiner is unable to determine what amendments have been currently made and whether any new issues arise due to the same. Applicants are urged to refile the amendment with annotations and proper mark-ups for re-consideration.

Meditor